

Assessment proposed by the supervisor:

Very Good minus

Assessment proposed by the reviewer:

Very Good**Course of the defence:****Presentation:**

Eda Salincak

The presentation was in English. The student introduced the commission to the location she had chosen for the building in Ústí nad Labem. She used maps and orthographic maps to demonstrate the main urban and landscape context. On graphic diagrams, the student briefly described the work with the terrain and individual volumes of the proposed building. The student presented the broader context to the committee on situational drawings set in orthographic maps. The student described the actual operation of the main building on drawings of floor plans of each floor. The student presented the gradation of the central mass of the building on drawings of sections and views. The architectural qualities were presented to the committee through visualizations.

Judgment:

read by the thesis supervisor doc. Ing. arch. Jiří Buček in Czech, in English read by the secretary Ing. arch. Jan Koníček

Expert debate:

The student answered the questions raised in the opponent's opinion. The committee asked questions that were closely related to these questions. The questions raised in the idea were mainly related to the concept's traffic connection, the urban design. The Commission was also interested in the internal layout of the premises in the context of the connection to the exterior premises. The technical design of the HVAC system and the construction itself were also discussed. Following the opponent, the commission questioned the student about the architectural and structural design of the façade. Further questions were mainly related to the morphology of the proposed building and the student's concept. The student answered all the questions of the committee.

Examination board members:

doc. Ing. arch. Antonín Novák

Ing. arch. Jan Koníček

Assessment:

doc. Ing. arch. Antonín Novák

Date of defence: **February 9, 2022**committee chairman
