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#### Abstract

The domatic numbers of a graph $G$ and of its complement $\bar{G}$ were studied by J. E. Dunbar, T. W. Haynes and M. A. Henning. They suggested four open problems. We will solve the following ones:

Characterize bipartite graphs $G$ having $d(G)=d(\bar{G})$. Further, we will present a partial solution to the problem: Is it true that if $G$ is a graph satisfying $d(G)=d(\bar{G})$, then $\gamma(G)=\gamma(\bar{G})$ ? Finally, we prove an existence theorem concerning the total domatic number of a graph and of its complement.
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We consider finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Mostly we treat bipartite graphs. The bipartition classes of such a graph will be denoted by $P$ and $Q$ and their cardinalities by $p$ and $q$ respectively; the notation will be chosen so that $p \geqslant q$. By $N_{G}(x)$ we denote the open neighbourhood of a vertex $x$ in a graph $G$, i.e. the set of all vertices which are adjacent to $x$ in $G$.

A subset $D$ of the vertex set $V(G)$ of a graph $G$ is called dominating (or total dominating) in $G$, if for each $x \in V(G)-D$ (or for each $x \in V(G)$, respectively) there exists $y \in D$ adjacent to $x$. A domatic (or total domatic) partition of $G$ is a partition of $V(G)$, all of whose classes are dominating (or total dominating, respectively) sets in $G$. The domination number (or total domination number) of $G$ is the minimum number of vertices of a dominating (or total dominating, respectively) set in $G$. The domatic [1] (or total domatic [2]) number of $G$ is the maximum number of classes of a domatic (or total domatic, respectively) partition of $G$. The domination number
of $G$ is denoted by $\gamma(G)$, its total domination number by $\gamma_{t}(G)$, its domatic number by $d(G)$, its total domatic number by $d_{t}(G)$.

Before solving the first mentioned problem we exclude certain cases.

Lemma 1. Let $G$ be a graph with an isolated vertex. Then $d(G) \neq d(\bar{G})$.
Proof. Let $v$ be an isolated vertex in $G$. It is contained in all dominating sets in $G$ and thus no two of them may be disjoint and $d(G)=1$. In $\bar{G}$ there exists the domatic partition $\{\{v\}, V(G)-\{v\}\}$ and thus $d(\bar{G})=2$.

If $q=1$ for a bipartite graph $G$, then either $G$ or $\bar{G}$ has an isolated vertex. Therefore the following proposition holds.

Proposition. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph in which one bipartition class consists of one element. Then $d(G) \neq d(\bar{G})$.

Lemma 2. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph with bipartition classes $P, Q$, let $p=|p|$, $q=|Q|, p \geqslant q \geqslant 2$. Then $d(G) \leqslant q \leqslant d(\bar{G})$.

Proof. No proper subset of $P$ or of $Q$ is dominating in $G$. Therefore if $D$ is a dominating set in $G$, then either $D=P$, or $D=Q$, or $D \cap P \neq 0$ and $D \cap Q \neq 0$. A domatic partition of $G$ is either $\{P, Q\}$, therefore with two classes, or has the property that each of its classes has a non-empty intersection with $Q$ and thus it has at most $q$ classes; this implies $d(G) \leqslant q$. In the complement $\bar{G}$ the sets $P, Q$ induce complete subgraphs and therefore each union of a non-empty subset of $P$ and a non-empty subset of $Q$ is dominating in $\bar{G}$. We have $p \geqslant q$ and therefore there exists a partition $\left\{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{q}\right\}$ of $P$ with $Q$ classes. If $Q=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}\right\}$, we may take the partition $\left\{M_{1} \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\}, \ldots, M_{q} \cup\left\{y_{q}\right\}\right\}$ of $V(G)$ and this is a domatic partition of $G$. Therefore $q \leqslant d(\bar{G})$.

Now we prove a theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph without isolated vertices and with bipartition classes $P, Q$, let $p=|P|, q=|Q|, p \geqslant q \geqslant 2$. The equality $d(G)=d(\bar{G})$ holds if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) The degree of each vertex of $P$ in $G$ is at least $q-1$.
(ii) The number of vertices of $P$ of degree $q$ is greater than or equal to the number of vertices of $Q$ of degree $p$.
(iii) Either $p \leqslant 2 q-1$, or there exists at least one vertex of $Q$ of degree $p$.

Proof. Let the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) hold. Let $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}$ be the vertices of $Q$. Let $M_{0}=\left\{x \in P \mid N_{G}(x)=Q\right\}$ and $M_{i}=\left\{x \in P \mid y_{i} \notin N_{G}(x)\right\}$
for $i=1, \ldots, q$. The condition (i) implies that the sets $M_{0}, M_{1}, \ldots, M_{q}$ are pairwise disjoint; some of them may be empty. Let $J_{0}=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, q\} \mid M_{i}=0\right\}$, $J_{1}=\left\{i \in\{1, \ldots, q\} \mid M_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. For $i \in J_{0}$ the vertex $x_{i}$ is adjacent to all vertices of $P$ and its degree is $p$. By (ii) we have $\left|M_{0}\right| \geqslant\left|J_{0}\right|$ and thus there exists a partition $\left\{L_{i} \mid i \in J_{0}\right\}$ of $M_{0}$. Now define sets $D_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, q$. If $i \in J_{0}$, then $D_{i}=L_{i} \cup\left\{y_{i}\right\}$. If $i \in J_{1}$, then $D_{i}=M_{i} \cup\left\{y_{i}\right\}$. The partition $\mathcal{D}=\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ is a domatic partition of $G$ and thus $d(G) \geqslant q$ and, by Lemma $2, d(G)=q$. The partition $\mathcal{D}$ is also a domatic partition of $\bar{G}$ and thus $d(\bar{G}) \geqslant q$. Suppose that $d(\bar{G}) \geqslant q+1$ and let $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ be the corresponding domatic partition of $\bar{G}$. At most $q$ classes of $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ may have non-empty intersections with $Q$ and therefore there exists a class $D^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ which is a subset of $P$. Each vertex of $Q$ is adjacent in $\bar{G}$ and thus non-adjacent in $G$ to a vertex of $D^{\prime}$. If there exists a vertex of $Q$ of degree $p$ (condition (iii)), then this vertex is adjacent in $G$ to all vertices of $P$ and thus also to all of $D^{\prime}$, which is a contradiction. If such a vertex does not exist, then $p \leqslant 2 q-1$ by (iii). By (i) each vertex of $D^{\prime}$ is adjacent in $G$ to at most one vertex of $Q$ (to exactly one, if $D^{\prime}$ is minimal with respect to inclusion), therefore $\left|D^{\prime}\right| \leqslant q$. No proper subset of $Q$ is dominating in $G$, because for each vertex of $Q$ there exists a vertex of $D^{\prime}$ adjacent in $G$ only to it. Hence each class of $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ has a non-empty intersection with $P$. As $D^{\prime}$ contains at least $q$ vertices of $P$, the number of all other classes of $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ is at most $p-q$ and $\left|\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant p-q+1$. By (iii) then $\left|\mathcal{D}^{\prime}\right| \leqslant q$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $d(\bar{G})=q$ and $d(G)=d(\bar{G})$.

Now suppose that (i) does not hold. There exists a vertex $x_{0} \in P$ whose degree is at most $q-2$ and therefore there exist vertices $y_{1} \in Q, y_{2} \in Q$ which are not adjacent to $x_{0}$. Suppose that $d(G)=q$ and let $\mathcal{D}=D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}$ be the corresponding domatic partition. Each class of $\mathcal{D}$ has exactly one element in common with $Q$; without loss of generality let $D_{1} \cap Q=y_{1}, D_{2} \cap Q=y_{2}$. But then both $D_{1}, D_{2}$ must contain $x_{0}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $d(G)<q \leqslant d(\bar{G})$.

Suppose that (ii) does not hold; by our notation this means $\left|M_{0}\right|<\left|J_{0}\right|$. Suppose that $d(G)=q$ and let $\mathcal{D}=\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{q}\right\}$ be the corresponding partition. We use the notation $Q=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}\right\}$ and without loss of generality we suppose that $D_{i} \cap Q=$ $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, q$. If $i \in J_{1}$, then $M_{i} \subseteq D_{i}-\left\{y_{i}\right\}$. Therefore if $i \in J_{0}$, then $D_{i} \cap P \subseteq M_{0}$. As $\left|M_{0}\right|<\left|J_{0}\right|$ and all these intersections must be non-empty and pairwise disjoint, we have a contradiction. Therefore again $d(G)<q \leqslant d(\bar{G})$.

Now suppose that (iii) does not hold; therefore $p \geqslant 2 q$ and $J_{0}=\emptyset$, which means $M_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$. In each $M_{i}$ we choose a vertex $x_{i}$ and denote $A=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{q}\right\}$. In $G$ the vertices $x_{i}, y_{i}$ are adjacent for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$, therefore $A$ is a dominating set in $G$. As $p \geqslant 2 q$, the set $P-A$ has at least $q$ elements and we may choose a partition $\left\{S_{1}, \ldots, S_{q}\right\}$ of $P-A$ with $q$ classes. Evidently $S_{i} \cup\left\{y_{i}\right\}$
is a dominating set in $G$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$ and $\left\{A, S_{1} \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\}, \ldots, S_{q} \cup\left\{y_{q}\right\}\right\}$ is a domatic partition of $G$. We have $d(\bar{G}) \geqslant q+1>q \geqslant d(G)$.

The problem whether $d(G)=d(\bar{G})$ implies $\gamma(G)=\gamma(\bar{G})$ will be solved only for bipartite graphs.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph such that $d(G)=d(\bar{G})$. Then $\gamma(G)=$ $\gamma(\bar{G})$.

Proof. Again we may restrict our considerations to graphs with $q \geqslant 2$ and without isolated vertices. According to Theorem 1 the equality $d(G)=d(\bar{G})$ implies the validity of the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and $d(G)=d(\bar{G})=q$. If there exists at least one vertex $y \in Q$ of degree $p$, then by (ii) there exists at least one vertex $x \in P$ of degree $q$. The set $\{x, y\}$ is dominating in $G$. We have $q \geqslant 2$ and therefore no one-element set may be dominating in $G$ and $\gamma(G)=2$. If vertex $y$ exists, then $p \leqslant 2 q-1$ must hold by (iii). We use the notation from the proof of Theorem 1 . We have $M_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$. As the sets $M_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint subsets of $P$ and $p \leqslant 2 q-1$, there exists some $j \in\{1, \ldots, q\}$ such that $\left|M_{j}\right|=1$. Let $M_{j}=\{x\}$. The set $\left\{x, y_{j}\right\}$ is dominating in $G$ and $\gamma(G)=2$. In the graph $\bar{G}$ each two-element set consisting of a vertex of $P$ and a vertex of $Q$ is dominating, because $P$ and $Q$ induce complete subgraphs of $\bar{G}$. No vertex is adjacent in $\bar{G}$ to all others, because such a vertex would be isolated in $G$. Therefore $\gamma(\bar{G})=2=\gamma(G)$.

In the case of the total domatic number the situation is more complicated. We will give a full characterization only for the case $q=2$; for a general case we will prove only an existence theorem. From our considerations we must exclude graphs with isolated vertices, because for them the total domatic number is not well-defined. In particular, for bipartite graphs we exclude the case $q=1$, because in this case the complement contains an isolated vertex.

For $q=2$ we can give a full characterization.
Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph without isolated vertices and with bipartition classes $P, Q$, let $p=|P|, q=|Q|=2, p \geqslant 2$. The equality $d_{t}(G)=d_{t}(\bar{G})$ holds if and only if exactly one vertex of $Q$ has degree $p$.

Proof. Let $Q=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}\right\}$. Suppose (without loss of generality) that $y_{1}$ has degree $p$, while $y_{2}$ has not. Then there exists a vertex $x \in P$ non-adjacent to $y_{2}$. Its degree in $G$ is 1 . In [2] it is stated that $d_{t}(G)$ cannot exceed the minimum degree of a vertex in $G$ and therefore $d_{t}(G)=1$. In $G$ the vertex $y_{1}$ has degree 1 and thus $d_{t}(G)=1$ and $d_{t}(G)=d_{t}(\bar{G})$.

If none of the vertices of $Q$ has degree $p$, then there exists a vertex $x_{1} \in P$ nonadjacent to $y_{1}$ and a vertex $x_{2} \in P$ non-adjacent to $y_{2}$. We have $x_{1} \neq x_{2}$, otherwise
this vertex would be isolated. Both $x_{1}, x_{2}$ have degree 1 and thus $d_{t}(G)=1$. If we put $D_{1}=\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}\right\}, D_{2}=\left(A-\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{y_{2}\right\}$, then $\left\{D_{1}, D_{2}\right\}$ is a total domatic partition of $\bar{G}$ and thus $d_{t}(\bar{G}) \geqslant 2$ and $d_{t}(\bar{G}) \neq d_{t}(G)$. If both vertices of $Q$ have degree $p$, then choose $x \in P$ and put $D_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{x, y_{1}\right\}, D_{2}^{\prime}=(A-\{x\}) \cup\left\{y_{1}\right\}$. The partition $\left\{D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ is domatic in $G$ and thus $d_{t}(G)=2$ (the degrees of vertices of $P$ are equal to 2). In $\bar{G}$ both vertices of $Q$ have degree 1 and thus $d_{t}(\bar{G})=1$ and $d_{t}(G) \neq d_{t}(\bar{G})$.

Now we prove a lemma.
Lemma 3. Let $G$ be a bipartite graph without isolated vertices and with bipartition classes $P, Q$, let $p=|P|, q=|Q|, p \geqslant q \geqslant 2$. Then $d(\bar{G}) \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} q\right\rfloor$.

Proof. The sets $P, Q$ induce complete subgraphs in $G$. Denote $r=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} q\right\rfloor$. Choose an arbitrary partition $\left\{Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{r}\right\}$ of $Q$ such that at most one class has three elements and all others have two elements each; such a partition has $r$ classes. As $p \geqslant q$, also $p$ can be partitioned into $r$ classes, each of which has at least two elements. Let this partition be $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}\right\}$. Then $\left\{P_{1} \cup Q_{1}, \ldots, P_{r} \cup Q_{r}\right\}$ is a domatic partition of $G$, which implies the assertion.

Now we prove the existence theorem.

Theorem 4. Let $p, q, s$ be positive integers, $p \geqslant q \geqslant 3$. There exists a bipartite graph $G$ with the bipartition classes $P, Q$ such that $|P|=p,|Q|=q$ and $d_{t}(G)=$ $d_{t}(\bar{G})=s$ if and only if $\frac{1}{2} q \leqslant s \leqslant \frac{3}{4} q$.

Proof. Let $\frac{1}{2} q \leqslant s \leqslant \frac{3}{4} q$. First we shall investigate the case $s=\frac{1}{2} q$; then obviously $q$ is even. Denote $r=\frac{1}{2} q$. Take two disjoint sets $P=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\}$, $Q=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{p}\right\}$; the vertex set of $G$ will be $V(G)=P \cup Q$. Join each vertex of $P$ with each vertex of $Q$ by an edge, except the pairs $\left\{x_{1}, y_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$. Thus $G$ is constructed. The vertex $x_{1}$ has degree $\frac{1}{2} q$ and thus $d_{t}(G) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} q$. Put $D_{i}=\left\{x_{r+i}, y_{r+i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, r-1$ and $d_{r}=V(G)-\bigcup_{i=1}^{r-1} D_{i}$. The partition $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{r}\right\}$ is total domatic in $G$ and thus $d_{t}(G)=r=\frac{1}{2} q$. In $\bar{G}$ no subset of $P$ is total dominating and thus each total dominating set in $\bar{G}$ has a non-empty intersection with $Q$. If this intersection consists of one element, then this element must be some of the vertices $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{r}$ and moreover this total dominating set must contain a vertex of $P$ adjacent to this vertex; such a vertex is only $x_{1}$. Therefore a total domatic partition of $\bar{G}$ can contain at most one class having only one vertex in common with $Q$, all others must have at least two. The number of classes is at most $r$ and $d_{t}(\bar{G}) \leqslant r$. There exists the same total domatic partition of $G$ as in the proof of Lemma 3 and thus $d_{t}(G)=r=\frac{1}{2} q$ and $d_{t}(G)=d_{t}(\bar{G})$.

Now let $\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} q\right\rfloor+1 \leqslant \frac{3}{4} q$; we will denote $r=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} q\right\rfloor$. Take again $V(G)=P \cup Q$, where $P=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}\right\}, Q=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}\right\}$. Let $m=2 s-q$; we have $2 \leqslant m \leqslant r$. We construct first the complement $\bar{G}$. It contains the edges $x_{i} y_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ and in addition the edges $x_{i} y_{2 m+j}$, where $1 \leqslant j \leqslant p-2 m, j \equiv i(\bmod m)$, again for $i=1, \ldots, m$ and for all $j$ satisfying the condition (such $j$ need not exist). Further, $\bar{G}$ obviously contains all edges joining two vertices of $P$ and all edges joining two vertices of $Q$. In $\bar{G}$ no subset of $P$ is total dominating and thus each total dominating set in $\bar{G}$ must have a non-empty intersection with $Q$. This intersection may consist of one vertex, only if this vertex is adjacent in $\bar{G}$ to a vertex of $P$; moreover, the mentioned total dominating set must contain also a vertex of $P$ adjacent to this vertex. Only the vertices $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ are adjacent in $\bar{G}$ to vertices of $Q$ and thus in each total domatic partition of $\bar{G}$ at most $m$ classes have one vertex in common with $Q$; the others have at least two and the number of classes is at most $m+$ $\frac{1}{2}(q-m)=s$. Therefore $d_{t}(\bar{G}) \leqslant s$. Let $L_{i}=\left\{y_{m+2 i-1}, y_{m+2 i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots$, $s-m$. Let $\left\{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{s-m}\right\}$ be an arbitrary partition of $P-\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ into $s-m$ classes. Put $\bar{D}_{i}=\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m, \bar{D}_{i}=L_{i-m} \cup M_{i-m}$ for $i=$ $m+1, \ldots, m+s$. The partition $\left\{\bar{D}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{D}_{s}\right\}$ is a total domatic partition of $\bar{G}$ and $d_{t}(\bar{G})=s$.

Also each total dominating set in $G$ has a non-empty intersection with $Q$. It has one vertex in common with $Q$, only if this vertex has degree $p$ in $Q$; otherwise it has at least two. There are $m$ vertices of degree $p$ in $Q$, namely $y_{m+1}, \ldots, y_{2 m}$. Analogously as in the case of $\bar{G}$ we have $d_{t}(G) \leqslant m+\frac{1}{2}(q-m)=s$. Put $D_{i}=\left\{x_{m+i}, y_{m+i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$. Further, for $q$ even (and thus also $m$ even) put $D_{i}=\left\{x_{2(i-m)-1}, x_{2(i-m)} ; y_{2(i-m)-1}, y_{2(i-m)}\right\}$ for $i=m+1, \ldots, \frac{3}{2} m$, $D_{i}=\left\{x_{2 i-m-1}, x_{2 i-m}, y_{2 i-m-1}, y_{2 i-m}\right\}$ for $i=\frac{3}{2} m+1, \ldots, s$. For $q$ odd we have $D_{i}=\left\{x_{2(i-m)-1}, x_{2(i-m)}, y_{2(i-m)-1}, y_{2(i-m)}\right\}$ for $i=m+1, \ldots, \frac{1}{2}(3 m-1), D_{i}=$ $\left\{x_{m}, x_{2 m+1}, y_{m}, y_{2 m+1}\right\}$ for $i=\frac{1}{2}(3 m+1), D_{i}=\left\{x_{2 i-m-1}, x_{2 i-m}, y_{2 i-m-1}, y_{2} i-m\right\}$ for $i=\frac{1}{2}(3 m+1)+1, \ldots, s$. Then $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{s}\right\}$ is a total domatic partition of $G$ and we have $d_{t}(G)=d_{t}(\bar{G})=s$.

Now consider the cases when $a$ does not satisfy the above mentioned inequality. By Lemma 3 for $s<\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} q\right\rfloor$ the required graph does not exist. For $q$ odd consider the case $s=\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2} q\right\rfloor=\frac{1}{2}(q-1)<\frac{1}{2} q$. We have $d_{t}(\bar{G})=s$ in the case when $G$ is a complete bipartite graph $K_{p, q}$, but then $d_{t}(G)=q \neq s$. Suppose that $G$ is a bipartite graph on $P, Q$ with $|P|=p,|Q|=q$ which is not $K_{p, q}$. Then there exists $x \in P$ and $y \in Q$ such that $x, y$ are non-adjacent in $G$ and thus adjacent in $\bar{G}$. Let $\left\{L_{1}, \ldots, L_{s}\right\}$ be a partition of $Q-\{y\}$ into two-element sets, let $\left\{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{s}\right\}$ be a partition of $P-\{x\}$ into sets with at least two vertices. Put $D_{i}=L_{i} \cup M_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, s, D_{s+1}=\{x, y\}$. The partition $\left\{D_{1}, \ldots, D_{s+1}\right\}$ is total domatic in $\bar{G}$ and $d_{t}(\bar{G}) \geqslant s+1$. This excludes the case $s=\frac{1}{2}(q-1)$.

Suppose $s>\frac{3}{4} q$. With the notation introduced above, we have $m=2 s-q>\frac{1}{2} q$. As we have seen in the first part of the proof, for $d_{t}(G)=s$ we must have at least $m$ vertices of degree $p$ in $Q$; they are non-adjacent to any vertex in $G$. For $d_{t}(\bar{G})=s$ we must have at least $m$ vertice of $Q$ which are adjacent to some vertex of $P$ in $\bar{G}$. As $m>\frac{1}{2} q$, these two conditions cannot be satisfied simultaneously and thus for $s>\frac{3}{4} q$ the required graph does not exist.

At the end we prove a theorem which concerns graphs in general, not only bipartite graphs

Theorem 5. No disconnected graph $G$ with $d_{t}(G)=d_{t}(\bar{G})$ exists.
Proof. Let $G$ be a disconnected graph. If $G$ contains isolated vertices, then $d_{t}(G)$ is not defined; therefore suppose that $G$ has no isolated vertex. Let $H_{1}$ be a connected component of $G$ with the minimum number of vertices; let $H_{2}=G-H_{1}$. Let $h$ be the number of vertices of $H_{1}$. In $\bar{G}$ each vertex of $H_{1}$ is adjacent to each vertex of $H_{2}$. Let the vertices of $H_{1}$ be $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{h}$ and choose $h$ pairwise distinct vertices $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{h}$ in $H_{2}$. Put $\bar{D}_{i}=\left\{v_{i}, w_{i}\right\}$ for $i=1, \ldots, h-1$ and $\bar{D}_{h}=V(G)-$ $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \bar{D}_{i}$. Then $\left\{\bar{D}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{D}_{h}\right\}$ is a total domatic partition of $\bar{G}$ and $d_{t}(\bar{G}) \geqslant h$. The total domatic number of $G$ is the minimum of total domatic numbers of the connected components of $G$ and thus $d_{t}(G) \leqslant d_{t}\left(H_{1}\right)$. Any total dominating set in a graph has at least two vertices and thus $d_{t}(G) \leqslant d_{t}\left(H_{1}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} h<h \leqslant d(\bar{G})$.
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