

REMARKS ON RESTRAINED DOMINATION AND TOTAL  
RESTRAINED DOMINATION IN GRAPHS

BOHDAN ZELINKA, Liberec

(Received July 22, 2002)

*Abstract.* The restrained domination number  $\gamma^r(G)$  and the total restrained domination number  $\gamma_t^r(G)$  of a graph  $G$  were introduced recently by various authors as certain variants of the domination number  $\gamma(G)$  of  $(G)$ . A well-known numerical invariant of a graph is the domatic number  $d(G)$  which is in a certain way related (and may be called dual) to  $\gamma(G)$ . The paper tries to define analogous concepts also for the restrained domination and the total restrained domination and discusses the sense of such new definitions.

*Keywords:* domination number, domatic number, total domination number, total domatic number, restrained domination number, restrained domatic number, total restrained domination number, total restrained domatic number

*MSC 2000:* 05C35, 05C69

The research of the domination in graphs has been an evergreen of the graph theory. Its basic concept is the dominating set and the domination number. A numerical invariant of a graph which is in a certain sense dual to it is the domatic number of a graph. And many variants of the dominating set were introduced and the corresponding numerical invariants were defined for them. Here we will study the restrained dominating set [4, 5] and the total restrained dominating set [1]. We consider finite undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges.

We start with definitions of various concepts concerning the domination in graphs. A subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is called a dominating set (or a total dominating set) in  $G$ , if for each  $x \in V(G) - S$  (or for each  $x \in V(G)$ , respectively) there exists a vertex  $y \in S$  adjacent to  $x$ . A dominating set in  $G$  is called a restrained dominating set

---

Bohdan Zelinka passed away on February 2005.

This research was supported by Grant MSM 245100303 of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic.

in  $G$ , if each vertex  $x \in V(G) - S$  is adjacent both to a vertex  $y \in S$  and to a vertex  $z \in V(G) - S$ . A set  $S$  which is simultaneously total dominating and restrained dominating in  $G$  is called a total restrained dominating set in  $G$ . The minimum number of vertices of a dominating set in a graph  $G$  is the domination number  $\gamma(G)$  of  $G$ . Analogously the total domination number  $\gamma_t(G)$ , the restrained domination number  $\gamma^r(G)$  and the total restrained domination number  $\gamma_t^r(G)$  are defined.

The domatic number of a graph was introduced in [2] and the total domatic number in [3]. In an analogous way we will define the restrained domatic number and the total restrained domatic number and then we will discuss the purpose of defining them. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a partition of the vertex set  $V(G)$  of  $G$ . If all classes of  $\mathcal{D}$  are dominating sets (or total dominating sets) in  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{D}$  is called a domatic (or total domatic, respectively) partition of  $G$ . Quite analogously we may go on. If all classes of  $\mathcal{D}$  are restrained dominating sets (or total restrained dominating sets) in  $G$  then  $\mathcal{D}$  is called a restrained domatic (or total restrained domatic, respectively) partition of  $G$ .

The maximum number of classes of a domatic partition of  $G$  is the domatic number  $d(G)$  of  $G$ . Analogously the total domatic number  $d_t(G)$ , the restrained domatic number  $d^r(G)$  and the total restrained domatic number  $d_t^r(G)$  are defined. Note that  $d^r(G)$  is well-defined for all graphs, so as  $d(G)$  is, while  $d_t^r(G)$  is well-defined for all graphs without isolated vertices, so as  $d_t(G)$  is. The sense of introducing  $d_t^r(G)$  is brought into doubt by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph without isolated vertices. Then  $d_t^r(G) = d_t(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Each total restrained dominating set in  $G$  is a total dominating set in  $G$ ; therefore each total restrained domatic partition of  $G$  is a total domatic partition of  $G$  and  $d_t^r(G) \leq d_t(G)$ . Now denote  $d(G)$  by  $d$  and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a total domatic partition of  $G$  with  $d$  classes  $D_1, \dots, D_d$ . Choose a class of  $\mathcal{D}$ , without loss of generality let it be  $D_1$ . Let  $x \in V(G)$ . As  $D_1$  is a total dominating set in  $G$ , there exists  $y \in D_1$  which is adjacent to  $x$ . Now suppose  $x \in V(G) - D_1$ . Then  $x \in D_i$  for some  $i \in \{2, \dots, d\}$ . The set  $D_i$  is also a total dominating set in  $G$ , therefore there exists  $z \in D_i$  adjacent to  $x$  and evidently  $z \in V(G) - D_1$ , because  $D_1 \cap D_i = \emptyset$ . We have proved that  $D_1$  is a total restrained dominating set in  $G$ . The set  $D_1$  was chosen arbitrarily, therefore  $\mathcal{D}$  is a total restrained domatic partition of  $G$  and  $d_t(G) \leq d_t^r(G)$ , which together with the former inequality gives the required result.  $\square$

The following theorem is analogous, only a little more complicated.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a graph, let  $d(G) \geq 3$ . Then  $d^r(G) = d(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Each restrained dominating set in  $G$  is a dominating set in  $G$ ; therefore each restrained domatic partition of  $G$  is a domatic partition of  $G$  and  $d^r(G) \leq d(G)$ . Now denote  $d(G)$  by  $d$  and let  $\mathcal{D} = \{D_1, \dots, D_d\}$  be a domatic partition of  $G$  with  $d$  classes. Choose a class of  $\mathcal{D}$ ; without loss of generality let it be  $D_1$ . Let  $x \in V(G) - D_1 = \bigcup_{i=2}^d D_i$ . Without loss of generality let  $x \in D_2$ . As  $D_1$  is a dominating set in  $G$ , there exists  $y \in D_1$  adjacent to  $x$ . Also  $D_3$  is a dominating set in  $G$  and therefore there exists  $z \in D_3$  adjacent to  $x$ . We have  $z \in V(G) - D_1$ , because  $D_1 \cap D_3 = \emptyset$ . We have proved that  $D_1$  is a restrained dominating set in  $G$ . The set  $D_1$  was chosen arbitrarily, therefore  $\mathcal{D}$  is a restrained dominating set in  $G$  and  $d^r(G) \geq d(G)_\gamma$ , which together with the former inequality gives the required result.  $\square$

The case  $d(G) \leq 2$  will be treated separately.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph, let  $d(G) \leq 2$ . If  $G$  has no isolated vertex, then  $d^r(G) = d_t(G)$ , otherwise  $d^r(G) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* If  $G$  has no isolated vertex, then  $d_t^r(G)$  is well-defined and obviously  $d^r(G) \leq d(G) \leq 2$ . As any restrained dominating set in  $G$  is a dominating set in  $G$ , we have also  $d^r(G) \leq d(G) \leq 2$ . Suppose  $d(G) = 2$  and let  $\{D_1, D_2\}$  be a total domatic partition of  $G$  with two classes. Let  $x \in D_1$ . There exists  $y \in V(G) - D_1 = D_2$  adjacent to  $x$ . As  $D_2$  is a total dominating set in  $G$ , there exists  $z \in D_2$  adjacent to  $y$ . Therefore  $D_1$  is a restrained dominating set in  $G$ ; analogously we prove that so is  $D_2$  and thus  $\{D_1, D_2\}$  is a restrained domatic partition of  $G$  and  $d^r(G) = 2 = d_t(G)$ . Now suppose  $d^r(G) = 2$  and let  $\{D'_1, D'_2\}$  be a restrained domatic partition of  $G$  with two classes. Each vertex of  $D$  is adjacent to a vertex of  $D'_1$  and to a vertex of  $D'_2$ , because  $D'_2$  is a restrained dominating set in  $G$ . Analogously also each vertex of  $D'_2$  is adjacent to a vertex of  $V(G) - D'_2 \equiv D'_1$  and to a vertex of  $D'_2$ . Both sets  $D'_1, D'_2$  are total dominating sets in  $G$  and  $\{D'_1, D'_2\}$  is a total domatic partition of  $G$  and  $d_t(G) = 2 = d^r(G)$ . We have proved that  $d^r(G) = 2$  if and only if  $d_t(G) = 2$ . If  $d(G) \leq 2$ , then there is only one other possibility  $d^r(G) = 1$  and  $d_t(G) = 1$ , therefore  $d^r(G) = d_t(G)$  again. If  $G$  contains an isolated vertex  $r$ , then all dominating sets in  $G$  contain  $r$  and therefore no two of them are disjoint. We have  $d(G) = 1$  and thus also  $d^r(G) = 1$ .  $\square$

The numbers  $\gamma^r(G)$  and  $\gamma_t^r(G)$  were studied in [1], [5], [6]. An interesting motivation for the research of  $\gamma_t^r(G)$  is in [1] in applications in guarding prisons. But the concept of our paper shows that probably there is no reason to introduce  $d^r(G)$  and  $d_t^r(G)$  as new numerical invariants of graphs.

### References

- [1] *Chen Xue-gang, Sun Liung and Ma De-xiang*: On total restrained domination in graphs. Czechoslovak Math. J. *55(130)* (2005), 165–173.
- [2] *E. J. Cockayne and S. T. Hedetniemi*: Towards a theory of domination in graphs. Networks *7* (1977), 247–261.
- [3] *E. V. Cockayne, R. M. Dawes and S. T. Hedetniemi*: Total domination in graphs. Networks *10* (1980), 211–219.
- [4] *G. S. Domke, J. H. Hattingh et al.*: Restrained domination in graphs. Discrete Math. *203* (1999), 61–69.
- [5] *T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi and P. J. Slater*: Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York-Basel-Hong Kong, 1998.
- [6] *M. A. Henning*: Graphs with large restrained domination number. Discrete Math. *197/198* (1999), 415–429.

*Author's address*: Technical University of Liberec, Dept. of Applied Mathematics, Voroněžská 13, 461 17 Liberec, Czech Republic, e-mail: [bohdan.zelinka@vslib.cz](mailto:bohdan.zelinka@vslib.cz).